



## Contributions to the Association Award Criteria

### **Preamble**

The Contributions to the Association Award of \$500 is designed to recognize an individual that has made a lasting and significant contribution to CAPAL and thereby enriched academic librarianship in Canada (defined below).

### **Eligibility**

- Nominators and nominees must be current CAPAL members in good standing.

The Contributions to the Association award honours special achievement in one or more of the following:

- Actively serving on CAPAL committees, working groups, communities of practice, student groups, etc.
- Leadership within CAPAL such as chairing a committee or Community of Practice, or holding a Board position (Treasurer, Chair, etc.)
- Leadership or collaboration on projects, presentations, events, etc. that have either advanced the Association's goals or made a lasting impact in the field of Canadian academic librarianship
- Any other inspiring and/or impactful CAPAL-related work

### **Nomination Requirements**

- Both nominations and self-nominations are welcome.
- A statement / letter of rationale from the nominator, emphasising the impact the individual had on:
  - The association
  - The profession of academic librarianship
- Up to two letter(s) of support (Can be from non-CAPAL members)
- Curriculum Vitae (Can be submitted by nominee after initial nomination)

Award winners will be asked to supply a web-quality headshot and a short bio for communications and social media.

If you have any questions about your eligibility or the nomination process, please contact the CAPAL Awards Committee at [capalawards@gmail.com](mailto:capalawards@gmail.com).

*\*The Awards Committee will be prioritizing applications by individuals that have not yet won this award*

### **Awards Committee Form**

- \_\_\_ The candidate **is** a current CAPAL member in good standing.
- \_\_\_ The nominator **is** a current CAPAL member in good standing.
- \_\_\_ The applicant **has not** previously won the contributions award.

Package includes

- \_\_\_ A statement / letter of rationale from the nominator
- \_\_\_ Letter(s) of support
- \_\_\_ Curriculum vitae

The rubric is scored by the evidence present in the nomination package.

<b>Criterion</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Significant, active and ongoing engagement with CAPAL	<p>There is evidence of substantial, sustained engagement with CAPAL.</p> <p>Examples: Participation and/or service in multiple committees, groups, communities of practice and/or Board positions with no or few gaps between engagements.</p>	<p>There is evidence of moderate, sporadic engagement with CAPAL.</p> <p>Examples: Participation and/or service in multiple committees, groups, communities of practice and/or Board positions over the candidate's career with several gaps between engagements.</p>	<p>There is evidence of no or limited engagement with CAPAL.</p> <p>Examples: Participation and/or service in one or two committees, groups, communities of practice and/or Board positions over the candidate's career.</p>	
Extent of the impact	<p>There is evidence of impact to a wide range of CAPAL members and also impact within academic librarianship.</p>	<p>There is evidence of impact to a wide range of CAPAL members.</p>	<p>There is evidence of impact to a limited range of CAPAL members.</p>	

<b>Criterion</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Longevity of the impact	There is evidence that the contribution(s) have a lasting impact.	There is evidence that the contribution(s) have an impact for a moderate term.	There is evidence that the contribution(s) have no or a short-term impact.	

Evidence in the nomination package that makes this candidate stand out from other nominees: